## SATIN CREDITCARE NETWORK LIMITED

(A) C (B) N (C) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P (D) R (E) R	1 RD OF DIRECTORS  Composition of Board	CLAUSE OF LISTING AGREEMENT  2 49 I	COMPLIANCE STATUS YES/ NO 3	REMARKS  4  Since the Company has Executive Chairman, atleast half of the Board should comprise of Independent Directors. The Company had issued shares to two private equity funds during the period December, 2010 to February, 2011. The Company had appointed two nominee directors nominated by these two investors' Nominee Directors are treated as Non Independent Directors pursuant to Clause 49 of the listing agreement. Prior to their appointment, the composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(A) C (B) N (C) C (D) C (D) C (D) R (E) R (E) R	Composition of Board	2 49 1	YES/ NO	Since the Company has Executive Chairman, atleast half of the Board should comprise of Independent Directors. The Company had issued shares to two private equity funds during the period December, 2010 to February, 2011. The Company had appointed two nominee directors nominated by these two investors on 12.02.2011. These two Investors' Nominee Directors are treated as Non Independent Directors pursuant to Clause 49 of the listing agreement. Prior to their appointment, the composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(A) C (B) N (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P (D) R (E) R	Composition of Board	49 I	3	Since the Company has Executive Chairman, atleast half of the Board should comprise of Independent Directors. The Company had issued shares to two private equity funds during the period December, 2010 to February, 2011. The Company had appointed two nominee directors nominated by these two investors on 12.02.2011. These two Investors' Nominee Directors are treated as Non Independent Directors pursuant to Clause 49 of the listing agreement. Prior to their appointment, the composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(A) C (B) N (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P (D) R (E) R	Composition of Board	49 I		Since the Company has Executive Chairman, atleast half of the Board should comprise of Independent Directors. The Company had issued shares to two private equity funds during the period December, 2010 to February, 2011. The Company had appointed two nominee directors nominated by these two investors on 12.02.2011. These two Investors' Nominee Directors are treated as Non Independent Directors pursuant to Clause 49 of the listing agreement. Prior to their appointment, the composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(A) C (B) N (C) C (D) C (A) Q (A) Q (C) P (D) R (E) R	Composition of Board			Chairman, atleast half of the Board should comprise of Independent Directors. The Company had issued shares to two private equity funds during the period December, 2010 to February, 2011. The Company had appointed two nominee directors nominated by these two investors on 12.02.2011. These two investors' Nominee Directors are treated as Non Independent Directors pursuant to Clause 49 of the listing agreement. Prior to their appointment, the composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (D) R (E) R:		49 (IA)		Chairman, atleast half of the Board should comprise of Independent Directors. The Company had issued shares to two private equity funds during the period December, 2010 to February, 2011. The Company had appointed two nominee directors nominated by these two investors on 12.02.2011. These two investors' Nominee Directors are treated as Non Independent Directors pursuant to Clause 49 of the listing agreement. Prior to their appointment, the composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (D) R (E) R:		49 (IA)		should comprise of Independent Directors. The Company had issued shares to two private equity funds during the period December, 2010 to February, 2011. The Company had appointed two nominee directors nominated by these two investors on 12.02.2011. These two Investors' Nominee Directors are treated as Non Independent Directors pursuant to Clause 49 of the listing agreement. Prior to their appointment, the composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (D) R (E) R:		49 (IA)		Directors. The Company had issued shares to two private equity funds during the period December, 2010 to February, 2011. The Company had appointed two nominee directors nominated by these two investors on 12.02.2011. These two Investors' Nominee Directors are treated as Non Independent Directors pursuant to Clause 49 of the listing agreement. Prior to their appointment, the composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (D) R (E) R:		49 (IA)		shares to two private equity funds during the period December, 2010 to February, 2011. The Company had appointed two nominee directors nominated by these two investors' Nominee Directors are treated as Non Independent Directors pursuant to Clause 49 of the listing agreement. Prior to their appointment, the composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (D) R (E) R:		49 (IA)		shares to two private equity funds during the period December, 2010 to February, 2011. The Company had appointed two nominee directors nominated by these two investors' Nominee Directors are treated as Non Independent Directors pursuant to Clause 49 of the listing agreement. Prior to their appointment, the composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (D) R (E) R:		49 (IA)		the period December, 2010 to February, 2011. The Company had appointed two nominee directors nominated by these two investors on 12.02.2011. These two Investors' Nominee Directors are treated as Non Independent Directors pursuant to Clause 49 of the listing agreement. Prior to their appointment, the composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (D) R (E) R:		49 (IA)		2011. The Company had appointed two nominee directors nominated by these two investors on 12.02.2011. These two Investors' Nominee Directors are treated as Non Independent Directors pursuant to Clause 49 of the listing agreement. Prior to their appointment, the composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (C) P: (E) R:		49 (IA)		nominee directors nominated by these two investors on 12.02.2011. These two Investors' Nominee Directors are treated as Non Independent Directors pursuant to Clause 49 of the listing agreement. Prior to their appointment, the composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (C) P: (E) R:		49 (IA)		two investors on 12.02.2011. These two Investors' Nominee Directors are treated as Non Independent Directors pursuant to Clause 49 of the listing agreement. Prior to their appointment, the composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (C) P: (E) R:		49 (IA)		Investors' Nominee Directors are treated as Non Independent Directors pursuant to Clause 49 of the listing agreement. Prior to their appointment, the composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (C) P: (E) R:		49 (IA)		as Non Independent Directors pursuant to Clause 49 of the listing agreement. Prior to their appointment, the composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (C) P: (E) R:		49 (IA)		to Clause 49 of the listing agreement. Prior to their appointment, the composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (C) P: (E) R:		49 (IA)		Prior to their appointment, the composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.5.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (C) P: (E) R:		49 (IA)		composition of Board was in compliance with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (C) P: (E) R:		49 (IA)		with Clause 49. The Company had further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (C) P: (E) R:		49 (IA)		further appointed one more Independent Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (C) P: (E) R:		49 (IA)		Director on the Board on 20.05.2011 who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (C) P: (E) R:		49 (IA)		who resigned from the Board on 10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (C) P: (E) R:		49 (IA)		10.10.2011. At present, the Company is having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (C) P: (E) R:		49 (IA)		having 3 Independent Directors out of 8 Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (C) P: (E) R:		49 (IA)		Directors. The Company is trying to place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (C) P: (E) R:		49 (IA)		place two more independent director on the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (C) P: (E) R:		49 (IA)		the Board to comply with the said
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (C) P: (E) R:		49 (IA)		
(B) N: (C) C (D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P: (C) P: (E) R:			NO	Clause.
(C) C (D) C (D) C (E) R (E) R	Non Executive Directors' - Compensation and disclosures	49 (IB)	YES	-
(D) C (D) C (A) Q (B) M (C) P (D) R (E) R	Other provisions as to Board and Commttees	49 (IC)	YES	_
(A) Q (B) M (C) P (D) R (E) R	Code of conduct	49 (ID)	YES	
(A) Q (B) M (C) P (D) R (E) R	odd o'r ddriddol	10 (12)	1.20	
(B) M (C) P (D) R (E) R	OIT COMMITTEE	49 (II)		
(C) P( (D) R (E) R	Qualified and Independent Audit Committee	49 (II A)	YES	
(D) R (E) R	Meeting of Audit Committee	49 (II B)	YES	
(E) R	Powers of Audit Committee	49 (II C)	YES	
	Role of Audit Committee	49 (II D)	YES	
Subsi	Review of information by Audit Committee	49 (II E)	YES	
Subsi				
	sidiary Companies	49 (III)	YES	The Company has no subsidiary
III				company.
D. 1		10 (0.0		
	losures	49 (IV)	V/EC	
	Basis of related party transactions	49 (IV A)	YES	-
	Disclosure of accounting treatment	49 (IV B)	YES	-
	Board Disclosures	49 (IV C)	YES	-
	Proceeds from Public Issues, rights issues, preferential issues etc.	49 (IV D)	YES	-
	Remuneration of Directors	49 (IV E)	YES	
	Management	49 (IV F)	YES	-
(G) S	She we health we	49 (IV G)	YES	-
	Shareholders			
V CEO/		49 (V)	YES	
	onarenoiders  // CFO certification	+3 (1)		1
VI Repo	0/ CFO certification		\/F0	
VII Comp		49 (VI)	YES	

Place: Delhi Dated: 05th April 2012

For Satin Creditcare Network Limited

Sd/-Kuldeep Singh Yadav Company Secretary & Compliance Officer